

## Rakhine CCCM (and NFI) Cluster Coordination Meeting

**Date:** 16<sup>th</sup> November 2016  
**Time:** 10am – 11am  
**Venue:** UNHCR, Sittwe

**Chair:** Richard Tracey (CCCM Cluster Coordinator)  
**Participants:** DRC (4), LWF (2), NRC (2), RI (2), UNHCR (2)  
**Minutes:** UNHCR

Agenda item	Discussion	Action Point	Due date
<b>Introduction</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CC welcomed participants &amp; agenda agreed</li> </ul>		
<b>CCCM Cluster Engagement with RSG</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CCCM Cluster coordinator reported that it had not been possible to make an appointment to see the State Secretary since the last meeting (11/11/2016) to follow-up on approvals for camp profiling and the construction of CCCM infrastructure &amp; repairs and maintenance to temporary shelters.</li> <li>CCCM Cluster are still waiting for RCO office to confirm an appointment with the Security Minister as agreed in the meeting of the Coordination Centre (29<sup>th</sup> August 2016) to resolve the root causes of the conflict / displacement in Ah Nauk Ywe IDP camp, Pauktaw.</li> </ul>	<p>CC to meet State Secretary</p> <p>CC to follow up with OCHA / RCO office to meet with Security Minister</p>	<p>16/11/2016</p> <p>18/11/2016</p>
<b>Stakeholder analysis in Ah Nauk Ywe</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>LWF briefed participants on the upcoming training for all CCCM partners to be conducted by CDA on 22-25<sup>th</sup> Nov 2016. As the training falls on a public holiday which some partners are taking, LWF were requested to reschedule the training.</li> </ul>	LWF to change the training dates to 28 <sup>th</sup> – 30 <sup>th</sup> Nov	18/11/2016
<b>NFI Meeting (11/11/2016)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CCCM Cluster coordinator briefed partners on the decisions taken at last NFI meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Seasonal distributions (dry cool season and rainy season)</li> <li>Implementation modalities: NFI distribution of key items and a targeted cash transfer</li> <li>DRC are focal point for developing a standardized NFI needs assessment</li> <li>Partners to agree on targeting criteria using findings from the needs assessment.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	CC to propose decisions taken by NFI Cluster partners to State Secretary	16/11/2016
<b>Camp Profiling</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Update on the Implementation Progress <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Enumerators recruited</li> <li>Almost all staffing requirements for camp profiling have been achieved.</li> <li>CCCM Coordinator to obtain RSG approval for the exercise</li> <li>Training materials developed</li> <li>Awareness raising campaign developed</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	CC to obtain approval from State Secretary	18/11/2016

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Partners agreed on the definition of a 'household' and to adopt this definition for all CCCM programming.</li> <li>A 'household' is a unit that functions collectively and may be comprised of a number of 'families' NB: One family may contain more than one wife as Muslims are polygamous.</li> <li>During the camp profiling exercise, data will be collected anonymously at both a household and a family level</li> </ul>		
<b>CMC Reform &amp; Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)</b>	<p><u>Paper written by DfID consortium on the problems of CMCs</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Partners criticized the consortium for not consulting with CCCM Cluster partners</li> <li>The paper does not reflect the concerted efforts made by CCCM Cluster partners.</li> <li>The paper does not reflect the authority of the RSG in the CCCM Cluster.</li> <li>The paper does not take into account protection concerns surrounding CMCs.</li> <li>The paper only focuses on concerns of the WASH Cluster and not all service providers.</li> <li>The paper does not provide any initiatives on how the CMC reform process can be taken forward.</li> </ul> <p><u>Way forward on CMC reform</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CCCM partners will develop terms of reference (ToR) and a code of conduct (CoC) at a TWiG.</li> </ul> <p><u>Progress towards fulfilling the Cluster commitment to facilitate the implementation of the RSG standard operating procedures (SOPs) for the construction / rehabilitation of infrastructure in IDP camps.</u></p> <p>LWF: Published labour lists and shared with service providers in 5 IDP camps of Sittwe (OTG (S), KDG (1&amp;2). BaSaRa, Teh Chaung) and 1 IDP camp in Pauktaw (ANY)</p> <p>NRC: Collected lists in TKP camp but not yet publish</p> <p>DRC: No progress. DRC stated that publishing labour lists is not a responsibility of a camp management agency. DRC raised concerns that working with the CMC to develop these labour lists exposes DRC to legitimizing corrupt practices of the CMC DRC stated that if LWF can provide evidence that the labour lists are effective and reduce the exploitation of IDPs by the CMC, DRC will consider developing lists in 2017.</p>	<p>CC to invite CCCM partners to a TWiG on Tues 22<sup>nd</sup> Nov at UNHCR</p> <p>CC to circulate the CCCM framework document written by DRC (Feb 2016)</p> <p>NRC to publish labour list in TKP &amp; MTN</p>	<p>18/11/2016</p> <p>18/11/2016</p> <p>30/11/2016</p>

<b>Harmonization of CCCM activities 2017</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CCCM Cluster coordinator (CC) shared the CCCM strategy from 2017.</li> <li>• CC will disseminate a format for partners to list the CCCM activities achieved in 2016 &amp; proposed activities for 2017 against the 4 pillars of the CCCM Strategy 2017</li> <li>• Partners to review and discuss at next CCCM/NFI Cluster meeting</li> <li>• Partners requested IOM (absent from this meeting) to share their training plans and plans for emergency preparedness (2017) with CCCM Cluster partners</li> </ul>	<p>CC to share template. CCCM partners to list activities against strategic pillars (2016 &amp; 2017). CC to compile. IOM to share plans for emergency preparedness 2017</p>	<p>22/11/2016</p>
<b>Contingency Plan – Spill over from NRS conflict</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If there is a spill over effect from NRS into central Rakhine State, CCCM partners will implement a standard CCCM response.</li> <li>• Geographical coverage:  <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; padding: 0 10px;"> <div>Maungdaw – IOM &amp; DRC</div> <div>Mrauk U – LWF, RI &amp; DRC</div> <div>Ponnagyun – LWF &amp; NRC</div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; padding: 0 10px;"> <div>Buthidaung – IOM &amp; DRC</div> <div>Kyawtaw – DRC</div> <div>Myebon - RI</div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; padding: 0 10px;"> <div>Rathedaung - NRC</div> <div>Minbya – LWF &amp; DRC</div> </div> </li> </ul>		